Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.) Vol. 115, No. 4, November 2003, pp. 399–410.
Printed in India

arXiv:math/0512315v1 [math.CA] 14 Dec 2005

Commutators of integral operators with variable kernels on Hardy spaces

PU ZHANG[1,*] and KAI ZHAO[2]

[1]Institute of Mathematics, Zhejiang Sci-Tech University, Hangzhou 310018, People's Republic of China
[2]Department of Mathematics, Qingdao University, Qingdao 266071, People's Republic of China
[*]Corresponding author
E-mail: puzhang@sohu.com; zhkai01@sina.com

MS received 26 January 2005; revised 13 July 2005

Abstract. Let $T_{\Omega,\alpha}$ $(0 \le \alpha < n)$ be the singular and fractional integrals with variable kernel $\Omega(x,z)$, and $[b, T_{\Omega,\alpha}]$ be the commutator generated by $T_{\Omega,\alpha}$ and a Lipschitz function b. In this paper, the authors study the boundedness of $[b, T_{\Omega,\alpha}]$ on the Hardy spaces, under some assumptions such as the L^r-Dini condition. Similar results and the weak type estimates at the end-point cases are also given for the homogeneous convolution operators $T_{\tilde{\Omega},\alpha}$ $(0 \le \alpha < n)$. The smoothness conditions imposed on $\tilde{\Omega}$ are weaker than the corresponding known results.

Keywords. Singular and fractional integrals; variable kernel; commutator; Hardy space.

1. Introduction and main results

Let S^{n-1} be the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^n (n \ge 2)$ equipped with the normalized Lebesgue measure $\mathrm{d}\sigma(x')$. We say a function $\Omega(x,z)$ defined on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ belongs to $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^r(S^{n-1})$ $(r \ge 1)$, if

(i) For all $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\lambda > 0$, $\Omega(x, \lambda z) = \Omega(x,z)$;
(ii) $\|\Omega\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)\times L^r(S^{n-1})} := \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} |\Omega(x,z')|^r \mathrm{d}\sigma(z')\right)^{1/r} < \infty$.

For $0 \le \alpha < n$, we define the integral operators with variable kernels as follows:

$$T_{\Omega,\alpha} f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\Omega(x, x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} f(y)\mathrm{d}y, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\Omega(x,z) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^r(S^{n-1})$. When $\alpha = 0$, the right-hand side integral in (1.1) is interpreted in the sense of Cauchy principal value. In addition, we assume that $\Omega(x,z)$ satisfies the cancellation condition,

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega(x,z')\mathrm{d}\sigma(z') = 0, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{1.2}$$

Then $T_{\Omega,0}$ is the singular integral with variable kernel, and we simply write it as T_Ω. The L^p-boundedness of the singular integral operator with variable kernel appears in [1] (see also [3,7]). It turns out that such kind of operators are much more closely related to the elliptic partial differential equations of second order with variable coefficients.

Theorem A [1]. *Let* $r > 2(n-1)/n$, *if* $\Omega(x,z) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^r(S^{n-1})$ *and satisfies* (1.2), *then there exists a positive constant* C, *independent of* f, *such that*

$$\|T_\Omega(f)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

In 1971, Muckenhoupt and Wheeden [24] established the following (L^p, L^q)-boundedness of $T_{\Omega,\alpha}$ when $0 < \alpha < n$.

Theorem B [24]. *Let* $0 < \alpha < n$, $1 < p < n/\alpha$ *and* $1/q = 1/p - \alpha/n$. *If there exists a real number* $r > p'$ *such that* $\Omega \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^r(S^{n-1})$, *then there is a positive constant* C, *independent of* f *and* Ω, *such that*

$$\|T_{\Omega,\alpha}(f)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\|\Omega\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)\times L^r(S^{n-1})}\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

In 2002, Ding *et al* [11] studied the boundedness properties of $T_{\Omega,\alpha}$ on the Hardy spaces. Recently, Zhang and Ding [30] improved some of the results in [11] for the case $0 < \alpha < n$, and Chen and Zhang [6] studied the boundedness properties of $T_{\Omega,\alpha}$ on the Herz-type Hardy spaces when $0 \le \alpha < n$.

The second class of operators considered in this paper are the Calderón–Zygmund singular integrals and the fractional integrals with homogeneous convolution kernel. Let $\tilde{\Omega} \in L^1(S^{n-1})$ be homogeneous of degree 0. We define the integral operator $T_{\tilde{\Omega},\alpha}$ as follows:

$$T_{\tilde{\Omega}} f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\tilde{\Omega}(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} f(y)\mathrm{d}y, \qquad 0 \le \alpha < n. \tag{1.3}$$

When $0 < \alpha < n$, $T_{\tilde{\Omega},\alpha}$ is the fractional integral, which is studied by many authors (see [5,10,12,14,24,29] for instance). We simply write $T_{1,\alpha}$ as I_α, the Riesz potential. When $\alpha = 0$ the right-hand side integral in (1.3) is interpreted in the sense of Cauchy principal value. In addition, we also assume the following cancellation condition:

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \tilde{\Omega}(x')\mathrm{d}\sigma(x') = 0. \tag{1.4}$$

Then $T_{\tilde{\Omega},0}$ is the classical Calderón–Zygmund singular integral operator (see [2]), and we simply denote it by $T_{\tilde{\Omega}}$.

Remark 1.1. When $\Omega = \tilde{\Omega}$, Theorem B is true for $n \ge n/(n-\alpha)$ (see [23] or [24] for details).

On the other hand, commutators of linear operators take important roles in harmonic analysis and related topics (see [8,9,17] for example). Let b be a suitable function such as a BMO or Lipschitz function and $0 \le \alpha < n$. The commutators generated by $T_{\Omega,\alpha}$ or $T_{\tilde{\Omega},\alpha}$ and b are defined formally by

$$[b, T_{\Omega,\alpha}] f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\Omega(x, x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} (b(x) - b(y)) f(y)\mathrm{d}y, \tag{1.5}$$

$$[b, T_{\tilde{\Omega},\alpha}] f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\tilde{\Omega}(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} (b(x) - b(y)) f(y)\mathrm{d}y. \tag{1.6}$$

When $b \in \mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, a study on $[b, T_{\tilde{\Omega}}]$ has a long history. In 1976, Coifman *et al* [9] proved that $[b, T_{\tilde{\Omega}}]$ is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ $(1 < p < \infty)$ into itself when $\tilde{\Omega} \in \mathrm{Lip}_1(S^{n-1})$.

It is known that $[b,T_{\tilde{\Omega}}]$ is neither of weak type (1,1) nor of (H^1,L^1) type (see [25,26] for details). When $b\in \mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $0<\alpha<n$, the commutator $[b,T_{\tilde{\Omega},\alpha}]$ has been extensively and profoundly studied by many authors, and we refer the readers to [4,13,15,16].

It is well-known that there are other links between the boundedness properties of commutators and the smoothness of b (see [17,20,21,22,25] for instance). These studies show that there are much more differences between $b\in \mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and b belonging to the Lipschitz space. For $0<\beta\le 1$, the Lipschitz space $\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined by

$$\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)=\left\{g\colon \|g\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)}=\sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n;x\neq y}\frac{|g(x)-g(y)|}{|x-y|^\beta}<\infty\right\}.$$

Recently, Lu *et al* [21] considered the boundedness of $[b,T_{\tilde{\Omega}}]$ and $[b,I_\alpha]$ on Hardy-type spaces when $b\in \mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Motivated by [21], our main aim in this paper is to study the same problem for commutators $[b,T_{\Omega,\alpha}]$ and $[b,T_{\tilde{\Omega},\alpha}]$. We would like to remark that, in [21] the smoothness conditions of $\tilde{\Omega}\in C^2(S^{n-1})$ or $\tilde{\Omega}\in \mathrm{Lip}_1(S^{n-1})$ are needed, and in this paper we need only a kind of L^r-Dini conditions. So, our results for $[b,T_{\tilde{\Omega},\alpha}]$ improve and extend the related results in [21], and our computations are more complex than that in [21]. In addition, none of such kind of results has been seen before for commutators $[b,T_{\Omega,\alpha}]$.

Before stating our theorems, we recall the definition of the L^r-Dini condition. For $r\ge 1$, a kernel $\Omega(x,z)$ defined as above satisfies the L^r-Dini condition, if

$$\int_0^1\frac{\omega_r(\delta)}{\delta}\mathrm{d}\delta<\infty, \tag{1.7}$$

where $\omega_r(\delta)$ is the integral modulus of order r of Ω about z, which is defined by

$$\omega_r(\delta)=\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n,|\rho|<\delta}\left(\int_{S^{n-1}}|\Omega(x,\rho z')-\Omega(x,z')|^r\mathrm{d}\sigma(z')\right)^{1/r},$$

and ρ denotes the rotation in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $|\rho|=\sup_{z'\in S^{n-1}}|\rho z'-z'|$.

When Ω does not depend on the first variable, we have $\Omega=\tilde{\Omega}$ and we write $\omega_r(\delta)$ as $\tilde{\omega}_r(\delta)$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let* $0<\beta\le 1$, $b\in \mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *and* $0\le\alpha<n-\beta$. *If there is a real number* $r>\max\{n/\beta,n/(n-\alpha-\beta)\}$ *such that* $\Omega(x,z)\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)\times L^r(S^{n-1})$ *and satisfies the* L^r-*Dini condition* (1.7), *then there exists a positive constant* C, *independent of* f *and* b, *such that*

$$\|[b,T_{\Omega,\alpha}]f\|_{L^{n/(n-\alpha-\beta)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\le C\|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Theorem 1.2. *Let* $0<\beta\le 1$, $b\in \mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $0\le\alpha<n-\beta$ *and* $n/(n+\beta)<p<1$, $1/q=1/p-(\alpha+\beta)/n$. *If there is a real number* $r>\max\{n/(\beta+n-n/p),n/(n-\alpha-\beta)\}$ *such that* $\Omega(x,z)\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)\times L^r(S^{n-1})$ *and satisfies*

$$\int_0^1\frac{\omega_r(\delta)}{\delta^{1+\beta}}\mathrm{d}\delta<\infty, \tag{1.8}$$

then there exists a positive constant C, *independent of* f *and* b, *such that*

$$\|[b,T_{\Omega,\alpha}]f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}\le C\|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|f\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Remark 1.2. As special cases of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 when the kernel Ω does not depend on the first variable, we can get the corresponding inequalities for $[b, T_{\tilde{\Omega},\alpha}]$.

Remark 1.3. It is worth pointing out that we do not need the cancellation conditions of Ω in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

From Theorems 2.2 and 4.1 in [21], the (H^p, L^q)-boundedness of $[b, T_{\tilde{\Omega},\alpha}]$ fails with $p = n/(n+\beta)$, even if $\tilde{\Omega} \in C^2(S^{n-1})$ and satisfies the cancellation condition (1.4) when $\alpha = 0$, so does $[b, I_\alpha]\,(0 < \alpha < n)$. Instead of the (H^p, L^q)-boundedness of $[b, T_{\tilde{\Omega},\alpha}]$, we consider the weak type estimates for $[b, T_{\tilde{\Omega},\alpha}]\,(0 \le \alpha < n)$ when $p = n/(n+\beta)$ as in [21]. For $0 < \alpha < n$, we obtain the following weak type estimates at the end-point case of $p = n/(n+\beta)$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let* $0 < \beta < 1,\ b \in \mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *and* $0 < \alpha < n - \beta$. *If there is a real number* $r \ge n/(n-\alpha)$ *such that* $\tilde{\Omega}(x') \in L^r(S^{n-1})$ *and satisfies*

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\tilde{\omega}_r(\delta)}{\delta^{1+\beta}}\mathrm{d}\delta < +\infty, \tag{1.9}$$

then $[b, T_{\tilde{\Omega},\alpha}]$ *is bounded from* $H^{n/(n+\beta)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *into weak* $L^{n/(n-\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, *that says, there exists a positive constant* C, *independent of* f *and* b, *such that*

$$\begin{aligned}&|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n\colon |[b, T_{\tilde{\Omega},\alpha}]f(x)| > \lambda\}|\\ &\quad\le C\|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)}(\lambda^{-1}\|f\|_{H^{n/(n+\beta)}(\mathbb{R}^n)})^{n/(n-\alpha)}, \quad \text{for all } \lambda > 0.\end{aligned}$$

In addition, if we assume $\tilde{\Omega}$ has integral zero on S^{n-1}, we can establish the following weak type estimate for $[b, T_{\tilde{\Omega}}]$ when $p = n/(n+\beta)$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let* $0 < \beta < 1,\ b \in \mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *and* $r > 1$. *If* $\tilde{\Omega}(x') \in L^r(S^{n-1})$ *satisfies* (1.4) *and* (1.9), *then* $[b, T_{\tilde{\Omega}}]$ *is bounded from* $H^{n/(n+\beta)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *into weak* $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, *say, there is a positive constant* C, *independent of* f *and* b, *such that*

$$\begin{aligned}&|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n\colon |[b, T_{\tilde{\Omega}}]f(x)| > \lambda\}|\\ &\quad\le C\|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)}\lambda^{-1}\|f\|_{H^{n/(n+\beta)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad \text{for all } \lambda > 0.\end{aligned}$$

Remark 1.4. Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 improve the corresponding results in [21].

Our paper is arranged as follows. In §2, we will formulate some known results to be used and then prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. In the last section, we prove Theorems 1.3 and 1.4.

2. Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

Firstly, we have the following boundedness properties of $[b, T_{\Omega,\alpha}]$ on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ spaces.

PROPOSITION 2.1.

Let $0 < \beta \le 1,\ b \in \mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n),\ 0 \le \alpha < n - \beta$ *and* $1 < p < n/(\alpha+\beta),\ 1/q = 1/p - (\alpha+\beta)/n$. *If* $\Omega(x,z) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^r(S^{n-1})$ *and* $r > p'$, *then there is a positive constant* C, *independent of* f *and* b, *such that*

$$\|[b, T_{\Omega,\alpha}]f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Proof. By (1.5) and the definition of $\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it is easy to see that

$$|[b,T_{\Omega,\alpha}]f(x)| \le \|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)} T_{|\Omega|,\alpha+\beta}(|f|)(x).$$

Applying Theorem B for $T_{|\Omega|,\alpha+\beta}$, we meet the desired result. □

To prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, we recall the atomic decomposition theory of the Hardy spaces. Denote by $[t]$ the greatest integer which is less than or equal to t.

DEFINITION 2.1.

Let $0 < p \le 1 \le q < \infty$, $p \ne q$ and $s \ge [n(1/p-1)]$ be a nonnegative integer. A function $a(x) \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is said to be a (p,q)-atom centered at x_0, if

(a) $\mathrm{supp}(a) \subset B(x_0,d) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n\colon |x-x_0| \le d\},$ for some $d > 0$;
(b) $\|a\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le |B(x_0,d)|^{1/q-1/p}$;
(c) $\int a(x)x^\gamma \mathrm{d}x = 0,$ for $0 \le |\gamma| \le s$.

Lemma 2.1. [19,28]. *Let* $0 < p \le 1$. *A distribution* f *on* $\mathbb{R}^n$ *belongs to* $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *if and only if* f *can be written as* $f = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j$ *in the sense of distribution, where each* a_j *is a* (p,q)*-atom,* $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}$ *and* $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|^p < \infty$. *Furthermore,*

$$\|f\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \inf\left\{\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|^p\right\}^{1/p},$$

where the infimum is taken over all the above atomic decompositions of f.

Now, we also need the following estimate for the kernel $\Omega(x,z)$, which is stated in [11] and can be proven by using the same methods as that of Lemma 5 in [18].

Lemma 2.2. [11]. *Let* $0 \le \mu < n$, $\Omega(x,z) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^r(S^{n-1})$ $(r \ge 1)$ *and satisfies the* L^r*-Dini condition* (1.7). *If there is a constant* $0 < a_0 < 1/2$ *such that* $|y| < a_0 R$, *then*

$$\left(\int_{R<|x|<2R} \left|\frac{\Omega(x,x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-\mu}} - \frac{\Omega(x,x)}{|x|^{n-\mu}}\right|^r \mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/r} \le CR^{n/r-(n-\mu)} \left\{\frac{|y|}{R} + \int_{|y|/2R<\delta<|y|/R} \frac{\omega_r(\delta)}{\delta}\mathrm{d}\delta\right\},$$

where C is a positive constant independent of R and y.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since $r > n/(n-\alpha-\beta)$, $1 \le r' < n/(\alpha+\beta)$. Pick a real number ℓ_1 such that $r' < \ell_1 < n/(\alpha+\beta)$ and set $1/\ell_2 = 1/\ell_1 - (\alpha+\beta)/n$. From Proposition 2.1 we see that $[b,T_{\Omega,\alpha}]$ is bounded from $L^{\ell_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $L^{\ell_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Write $q = n/(n-\alpha-\beta)$ for simplicity. By the atomic decomposition theory of Hardy space, to prove Theorem 1.1, it suffices to verify that for any $(1,\ell_1)$-atom $a(x)$, there is a constant $C > 0$, independent of a and b, such that $\|[b,T_{\Omega,\alpha}]a\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. Without loss of generality, we assume $\mathrm{supp}(a) \subset B = B(0,d)$. Denote by $tB = B(0,td)$ for $t > 0$, then

$$\|[b,T_{\Omega,\alpha}]a\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le \left(\int_{2B} |[b,T_{\Omega,\alpha}]a(x)|^q \mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/q} + \left(\int_{(2B)^C} |[b,T_{\Omega,\alpha}]a(x)|^q \mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/q} := \mathrm{I} + \mathrm{II}. \tag{2.1}$$

Noting that $\ell_2 > n/(n-\alpha-\beta) = q$, by the Hölder's inequality, the (L^{ℓ_1}, L^{ℓ_2})-boundedness of $[b, T_{\Omega,\alpha}]$ and the size condition of a, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{I} &\le |2B|^{1/q-1/\ell_2} \|[b, T_{\Omega,\alpha}]a\|_{L^{\ell_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\le C\|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)} |B|^{1/q-1/\ell_2} \|a\|_{L^{\ell_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\le C\|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

By the cancellation condition of a and the Minkowski's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{II} \le & \left(\int_{(2B)^C} \left| (b(x)-b(0)) \int_B \left[\frac{\Omega(x,x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} - \frac{\Omega(x,x)}{|x|^{n-\alpha}} \right] a(y)\mathrm{d}y \right|^q \mathrm{d}x \right)^{1/q} \\ & + \left(\int_{(2B)^C} \left| \int_B \frac{\Omega(x,x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} (b(y)-b(0)) a(y) \mathrm{d}y \right|^q \mathrm{d}x \right)^{1/q} \\ := & \, \mathrm{II}_1 + \mathrm{II}_2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Denote by $\Delta(x,y) = \frac{\Omega(x,x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} - \frac{\Omega(x,x)}{|x|^{n-\alpha}}$ for simplicity. Note that $r > n/(n-\alpha-\beta) = q$, by the Hölder's inequality and Lemma 2.2, for any $y \in B$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{2^j d<|x|<2^{j+1}d} |\Delta(x,y)|^q \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{1/q} \\ & \quad \le (2^j d)^{n(1/q-1/r)} \left(\int_{2^j d<|x|<2^{j+1}d} |\Delta(x,y)|^r \mathrm{d}x \right)^{1/r} \\ & \quad \le C(2^j d)^{n/q-n+\alpha} \left\{ 2^{-j} + \int_{|y|/2^{j+1}d}^{|y|/2^j d} \frac{\omega_r(\delta)}{\delta} \mathrm{d}\delta \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Noting that $\sup_{x \in 2^{j+1}B} |b(x)-b(0)| \le \|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)} (2^{j+1}d)^\beta$ and $q = n/(n-\alpha-\beta)$, by (2.4), (1.7) and the size condition of a, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{II}_1 &\le C \int_B |a(y)| \sum_{j=1}^\infty \left(\int_{2^j d<|x|<2^{j+1}d} |\Delta(x,y)|^q |b(x)-b(0)|^q \mathrm{d}x \right)^{1/q} \mathrm{d}y \\ &\le C\|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)} \int_B |a(y)| \sum_{j=1}^\infty (2^j d)^\beta \left(\int_{2^j d<|x|<2^{j+1}d} |\Delta(x,y)|^q \mathrm{d}x \right)^{1/q} \mathrm{d}y \\ &\le C\|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)} \int_B |a(y)| \sum_{j=1}^\infty \left\{ 2^{-j} + \int_{|y|/2^{j+1}d}^{|y|/2^j d} \frac{\omega_r(\delta)}{\delta} \mathrm{d}\delta \right\} \mathrm{d}y \\ &\le C\|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)} \int_B |a(y)| \mathrm{d}y \le C\|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

To consider II_2, for any fixed $x \in (2B)^C$, we first estimate $\int_B |\Omega(x,x-y)||a(y)|\mathrm{d}y$. Noting that $r' < \ell_1$ and for any $x \in (2B)^C$ and $y \in B$ there holds $|x|/2 < |x-y| < 2|x|$, then by the Hölder's inequality, we have for any fixed $x \in (2B)^C$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_B |\Omega(x,x-y)||a(y)|\mathrm{d}y &\le \left(\int_B |\Omega(x,x-y)|^r \mathrm{d}y\right)^{1/r} \left(\int_B |a(y)|^{r'} \mathrm{d}y\right)^{1/r'} \\
&\le C\|a\|_{L^{\ell_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} |B|^{1/r'-1/\ell_1} \left(\int_{|x|/2<|x-y|<2|x|} |\Omega(x,x-y)|^r \mathrm{d}y\right)^{1/r} \\
&\le C\|\Omega\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)\times L^r(S^{n-1})} |B|^{1/r'-1/\ell_1} \|a\|_{L^{\ell_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} |x|^{n/r}. \qquad (2.6)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $r > n/\beta$ and $q = n/(n-\alpha-\beta)$, then $(n/r-n+\alpha)q = q(n/r-\beta)-n < -n$. By the size condition of a, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{II}_2 &\le C\|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)} |B|^{\beta/n} \left\{\int_{(2B)^C} \left(|x|^{-n+\alpha} \int_B |\Omega(x,x-y)||a(y)|\mathrm{d}y\right)^q \mathrm{d}x\right\}^{1/q} \\
&\le C\|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)} |B|^{\beta/n+1/r'-1/\ell_1} \|a\|_{L^{\ell_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \left(\int_{(2B)^C} |x|^{(n/r-n+\alpha)q} \mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/q} \\
&\le C\|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)} |B|^{\beta/n-1/r} \left(\int_{2d}^{\infty} \rho^{q(n/r-\beta)-1} \mathrm{d}\rho\right)^{1/q} \\
&\le C\|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \qquad (2.7)
\end{aligned}$$

The above estimates for II_1 and II_2, together with (2.3) show that $\mathrm{II} \le C\|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1, we assume ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 to be the same as in the proof of Theorem 1.1. For any (p,ℓ_1)-atom a with $\mathrm{supp}(a) \subset B(0,d)$, it suffices to verify that there is a constant $C > 0$, independent of a and b, such that $\|[b,T_{\Omega,\alpha}]a\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. Write

$$\|[b,T_{\Omega,\alpha}]a\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le \mathrm{I} + \mathrm{II}_1 + \mathrm{II}_2,$$

where I, II_1 and II_2 are the same as in (2.1) and (2.3), except for $n/(n+\beta) < p < 1$ and $1/q = 1/p - (\alpha+\beta)/n$.

Since $0 < p < 1 < r' < \ell_1$ then $q < \ell_2$. Similar to (2.2) we have $\mathrm{I} \le C\|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. To finish the proof of Theorem 1.2, we need only to modify the estimates for II_1 and II_2 in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Noting that $r > n/(n-\alpha-\beta)$, $n/(n+\beta) < p < 1$ and $0 < \beta \le 1$, it is easy to see that $r > q$ and $n/p-n-1 \le n/p-n-\beta < 0$. Since (2.4) is always true for $r > q$, then by (1.8) and the size condition of a, similar to (2.5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{II}_1 &\le \left(\int_{(2B)^C}\left|(b(x)-b(0))\int_B\left[\frac{\Omega(x,x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}}-\frac{\Omega(x,x)}{|x|^{n-\alpha}}\right]a(y)\mathrm{d}y\right|^q\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/q}\\
&\le C\|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)}\int_B|a(y)|\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(2^jd)^{n/p-n}\left\{2^{-j}+\int_{|y|/2^{j+1}d}^{|y|/2^jd}\frac{\omega_r(\delta)}{\delta}\right\}\mathrm{d}y\\
&\le C\|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)}d^{n/p-n}\int_B|a(y)|\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}2^{j(n/p-n)}\left\{2^{-j}+2^{-j\beta}\int_0^1\frac{\omega_r(\delta)}{\delta^{1+\beta}}\mathrm{d}\delta\right\}\mathrm{d}y\\
&\le C\|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)}d^{n/p-n}\int_B|a(y)|\mathrm{d}y\left(1+\int_0^1\frac{\omega_r(\delta)}{\delta^{1+\beta}}\mathrm{d}\delta\right)\\
&\le C\|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

From $r > n/(\beta+n-n/p)$ and $1/p = 1/q+(\alpha+\beta)/n$, we have $n+q(n/r-n+\alpha) = q(n/r-\beta-n+n/p) < 0$. By (2.6), similar to (2.7), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{II}_2 &\le C\|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)}|B|^{\beta/n}\left\{\int_{(2B)^C}|x|^{-q(n-\alpha)}\left(\int_B|\Omega(x,x-y)||a(y)|\mathrm{d}y\right)^q\mathrm{d}x\right\}^{1/q}\\
&\le C\|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)}|B|^{\beta/n+1/r'-1/\ell_1}\|a\|_{L^{\ell_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\left(\int_{(2B)^C}|x|^{q(n/r-n+\alpha)}\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/q}\\
&\le C\|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)}|B|^{(\alpha+\beta)/n-(1/p-1/q)} \le C\|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Summing up the discussion above, we finish the proof of Theorem 1.2. □

3. Proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4

In this section, we prove Theorems 1.3 and 1.4. To do this, we need the following known estimates for $T_{\tilde{\Omega},\alpha}$ $(0<\alpha<n)$ and $T_{\tilde{\Omega}}$.

Lemma 3.1. [5,10]. *Let* $0<\alpha<n,\ r\ge n/(n-\alpha)$ *and* $\tilde{\Omega}\in L^r(S^{n-1})$, *then* $T_{\tilde{\Omega},\alpha}$ *is of weak type* $(1,n/(n-\alpha))$, *that is, there is a positive constant* C, *such that*

$$|\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n\colon |T_{\tilde{\Omega},\alpha}f(x)|>\lambda\}| \le C(\lambda^{-1}\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)})^{n/(n-\alpha)}, \quad \textit{for all } \lambda>0.$$

Lemma 3.2. [2,27]. *Suppose that* $\tilde{\Omega}\in L\log L(S^{n-1})$ *and satisfies* (1.4). *Then* $T_{\tilde{\Omega}}$ *extends to an operator of type* (p,p) *for* $1<p<\infty$, *and of weak type* $(1,1)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Denote by $q_0 = n/(n-\alpha)$ for simplicity. For $f\in H^{n/(n+\beta)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by the atomic decomposition theory of Hardy space, $f=\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\lambda_ja_j$ in the sense of distribution, where each a_j is a $(n/(n+\beta),\ell_1)$-atom and $\lambda_j\in\mathbb{C}$. Suppose that $\mathrm{supp}(a_j)\subset B_j = B(x_j,r_j)$, then

$$[b,T_{\tilde{\Omega},\alpha}]f(x) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\lambda_j(b(x)-b(x_j))T_{\tilde{\Omega},\alpha}a_j(x)\chi_{2B_j}(x)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\lambda_j(b(x)-b(x_j))T_{\tilde{\Omega},\alpha}a_j(x)\chi_{(2B_j)^C}(x)\\
&-T_{\tilde{\Omega},\alpha}\left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\lambda_j(b-b(x_j))a_j\right)(x)\\
:=\,&\mathrm{I}_1(x)+\mathrm{I}_2(x)+\mathrm{I}_3(x).
\end{aligned}
$$

From Remark 1.1, we can choose ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 with $1<\ell_1<n/\alpha$ and $1/\ell_2=1/\ell_1-\alpha/n$ such that $T_{\tilde{\Omega},\alpha}$ is bounded from $L^{\ell_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $L^{\ell_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Noting that $b\in \mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\ell_2>q_0$, by the size condition of a_j, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|(b-b(x_j))T_{\tilde{\Omega},\alpha}a_j\chi_{2B_j}\|_{L^{q_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\quad\leq C\|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)}|B_j|^{\beta/n}\|T_{\tilde{\Omega},\alpha}a_j\chi_{2B_j}\|_{L^{q_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\quad\leq C\|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)}|B_j|^{\beta/n+1/q_0-1/\ell_2}\|T_{\tilde{\Omega},\alpha}a_j\|_{L^{\ell_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\quad\leq C\|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)}|B_j|^{\beta/n+1/q_0-1/\ell_2}\|a_j\|_{L^{\ell_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\quad\leq C\|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n\colon |\mathrm{I}_1(x)|>\lambda/3\}|^{1/q_0}&\leq 3\lambda^{-1}\|\mathrm{I}_1\|_{L^{q_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\leq 3\lambda^{-1}\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}|\lambda_j|\|(b-b(x_j))T_{\tilde{\Omega},\alpha}a_j\chi_{2B_j}\|_{L^{q_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\leq C\|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)}\lambda^{-1}\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}|\lambda_j|. \qquad (3.1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Noting that $b\in \mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by the Hölder's inequality and the size condition of a_j,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|(b-b(x_j))a_j\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}&\leq \|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)}|B_j|^{\beta/n}\|a_j\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\leq C\|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)}|B_j|^{\beta/n+1-1/\ell_1}\|a_j\|_{L^{\ell_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\leq C\|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

From Lemma 3.1, $T_{\tilde{\Omega},\alpha}$ is of weak type $(1,q_0)$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n\colon |\mathrm{I}_3(x)|>\lambda/3\}|^{1/q_0}&\leq 3\lambda^{-1}\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}|\lambda_j|\|(b-b(x_j))a_j\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\leq C\|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)}\lambda^{-1}\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}|\lambda_j|. \qquad (3.2)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we are in position to give the same estimates for $\mathrm{I}_2(x)$ as that of $\mathrm{I}_1(x)$ and $\mathrm{I}_3(x)$. Write $\Delta(x,y,x_j)=\frac{\tilde{\Omega}(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}}-\frac{\tilde{\Omega}(x-x_j)}{|x-x_j|^{n-\alpha}}$ for simplicity. If $r>n/(n-\alpha)=q_0$, then by using the Hölder's inequality and Lemma 2.2 for the special case that the kernel does not depend on the first variable, we have, for any $y \in B_j$ and $k \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int_{2^k r_j<|x|<2^{k+1}r_j} |\Delta(x,y,x_j)|^{q_0}\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/q_0}\\
&\quad\leq C(2^k r_j)^{n-\alpha-n/r}\left(\int_{2^k r_j<|x|<2^{k+1}r_j} |\Delta(x,y,x_j)|^{r}\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/r}\\
&\quad\leq C\left\{2^{-k}+\int_{|y|/2^{k+1}r_j}^{|y|/2^k r_j}\frac{\tilde{\omega}_r(\delta)}{\delta}\mathrm{d}\delta\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Obviously, there holds the same estimate when $r=n/(n-\alpha)=q_0$.

By the cancellation condition of a_j, the Minkowski's inequality, the above estimate and (1.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(b-b(x_j))T_{\tilde{\Omega},\alpha}(a_j)\chi_{(2B_j)^C}\|_{L^{q_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\quad\leq \int_{B_j}|a_j(y)|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\int_{2^k r_j<|x|<2^{k+1}r_j}|\Delta(x,y,x_j)(b(x)-b(x_j))|^{q_0}\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/q_0}\mathrm{d}y\\
&\quad\leq C\|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)}\int_{B_j}|a_j(y)|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(2^k r_j)^{\beta}\left(\int_{2^k r_j<|x|<2^{k+1}r_j}|\Delta(x,y,x_j)|^{q_0}\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/q_0}\mathrm{d}y\\
&\quad\leq C\|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)}r_j^{\beta}\int_{B_j}|a_j(y)|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}2^{k\beta}\left\{2^{-k}+\int_{|y|/2^{k+1}r_j}^{|y|/2^k r_j}\frac{\tilde{\omega}_r(\delta)}{\delta}\mathrm{d}\delta\right\}\mathrm{d}y\\
&\quad\leq C\|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)}r_j^{\beta}\int_{B_j}|a_j(y)|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\{2^{k(\beta-1)}+\int_{|y|/2^{k+1}r_j}^{|y|/2^k r_j}\frac{\tilde{\omega}_r(\delta)}{\delta^{1+\beta}}\mathrm{d}\delta\right\}\mathrm{d}y\\
&\quad\leq C\|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)}|B_j|^{\beta/n+1-1/\ell_1}\|a_j\|_{L^{\ell_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\leq C\|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

And then

$$\begin{aligned}
|\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n\colon |\mathrm{I}_2(x)|>\lambda/3\}|^{1/q_0}&\leq C\lambda^{-1}\|\mathrm{I}_2\|_{L^{q_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\leq C\lambda^{-1}\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}|\lambda_j|\|(b-b(x_j))T_{\tilde{\Omega},\alpha}(a_j)\chi_{2B_j}\|_{L^{q_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\leq C\|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)}\lambda^{-1}\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}|\lambda_j|. \qquad (3.3)
\end{aligned}$$

From (3.1)–(3.3), and noting that $n/(n+\beta)<1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n\colon |[b,T_{\tilde{\Omega},\alpha}]|>\lambda\}|^{1/q_0}&\leq\sum_{i=1}^{3}|\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n\colon |I_i(x)|>\lambda/3\}|^{1/q_0}\\
&\leq C\|b\|_{\mathrm{Lip}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)}\lambda^{-1}\left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}|\lambda_j|^{n/(n+\beta)}\right)^{(n+\beta)/n}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3. □

Proof of Theorem 1.4. In the proof of Theorem 1.3, set $\ell_1 = \ell_2 > 1$, applying Lemma 2.2 for $\mu = 0$ and Lemma 3.2, we can obtain the desired result, we omit the details. □

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the referees for their comments and suggestions. This project is supported by the Research Funds of Zhejiang Sci-Tech University (No. 0313055-Y) and NSFZJ (No. Y604563).

References

[1] Calderón A P and Zygmund A, On a problem of Mihlim, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **78** (1955) 209–224

[2] Calderón A P and Zygmund A, On singular integrals, *Am. J. Math.* **78** (1956) 289–309

[3] Calderón A P and Zygmund A, On singular integral with variable kernels, *Appl. Anal.* **7** (1978) 221–238

[4] Chanillo S, A note on commutators, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **31** (1982) 7–16

[5] Chanillo S, Watson D K and Wheeden R L, Some integral and maximal operators related to starlike sets, *Studia Math.* **107** (1993) 223–255

[6] Chen J C and Zhang P, A class of integral operators with variable kernels on the Herz-type Hardy spaces, *Chin. Ann. Math.* **A25(5)** (2004) 561–570

[7] Christ M, Duoandikoetxea J and Rubio de Francia J L, Maximal operators related to the radon transform and the Calderón–Zygmund method of rotations, *Duke Math. J.* **53** (1986) 189–209

[8] Coifman R R, Lions P L, Meyer Y and Semmes S, Compensated compactness and Hardy spaces, *J. Math. Pure Appl.* **72(3)** (1993) 247–286

[9] Coifman R R, Rochberg R and Weiss G, Factorization theorems for Hardy spaces in several variable, *Ann. Math.* **103** (1976) 611–635

[10] Ding Y, Weak type bounds for a class of rough operators with power weights, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **125** (1997) 2939–2942

[11] Ding Y, Chen J C and Fan D S, A class of integral operators with variable kernels on Hardy spaces, *Chin. Ann. Math.* **A23(3)** (2002) 289–296

[12] Ding Y and Lu S Z, Weighted norm inequalities for fractional integrals with rough kernels, *Can. J. Math.* **50** (1998) 29–39

[13] Ding Y and Lu S Z, Higher order commutators for a class of rough operators, *Ark. Mat.* **37** (1999) 33–44

[14] Ding Y and Lu S Z, Homogeneous fractional integrals on Hardy spaces, *Tôhoku Math. J.* **52** (2000) 153–162

[15] Ding Y, Lu S Z and Zhang P, Weak estimates for commutators of fractional integral operators, *Sci. China (Ser. A)* **44(7)** (2001) 877–888

[16] Ding Y, Lu S Z and Zhang P, Continuity of higher order commutators on certain Hardy spaces, *Acta Math. Sinica (Eng. Ser.)* **18(2)** (2002) 391–404

[17] Janson S, Mean oscillation and commutators of singular integral operators, *Ark. Math.* **16** (1978) 263–270

[18] Kurtz D S and Wheeden R L, Results on weighted norm inequalities for multipliers, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **255** (1979) 343–362

[19] Lu S Z, Four lectures on real H^p spaces (1995) (Singapore: World Scientific Publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd.)

[20] Lu S Z, Wu H X and Zhang P, Multilinear singular integral with rough kernel, *Acta Math. Sinica (Eng. Ser.)* **9(1)** (2003) 51–62

[21] Lu S Z, Wu Q and Yang D C, Boundedness of commutators on Hardy type spaces, *Sci. China (Ser. A)* **45(8)** (2002) 984–997

[22] Lu S Z and Zhang P, Lipschitz estimates for generalized commutators of fractional integral with rough kernel, *Math. Nachr.* **252** (2003) 70–85

[23] Muckenhoupt B, On certain singular integrals, *Pacific. J. Math.* **10(1)** (1960) 239–261

[24] Muckenhoupt B and Wheeden R L, Weighted norm inequalities for singular and fractional integrals, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **161** (1971) 249–258

[25] Paluszynski M, Characterization of the Besov spaces via the commutator of Coifman, Rochberg and Weiss, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **44(1)** (1995) 1–17

[26] Pérez C, Endpoint estimates for commutators of singular operators, *J. Funct. Anal.* **128** (1995) 163–185

[27] Seeger A, Singular integral operators with rough convolution kernels, *J. Am. Math. Soc.* **9** (1996) 95–105

[28] Stein E M, Harmonic analysis: Real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals (1993) (Princeton, NJ: Princeton Univ. Press)

[29] Zhang P, Boundedness of fractional integrals on Herz-type Hardy spaces, *J. Beijing Norm. Univ. (Nat. Sci.)* **36(3)** (2000) 290–296

[30] Zhang P and Ding Y, Fractional integral operators with variable on Hardy spaces, *Appl. Math. J. Chinese Univ. (Ser. B)* **18(4)** (2003) 461–466